arXiv:0906.1828v1 [math.NA] 9 Jun 2009

FULLY-DISCRETE FINITE ELEMENT APPROXIMATIONS FOR A FOURTH-ORDER LINEAR STOCHASTIC PARABOLIC EQUATION WITH ADDITIVE SPACE-TIME WHITE NOISE
II. 2D AND 3D CASE

GEORGIOS T. KOSSIORIS[‡] AND GEORGIOS E. ZOURARIS[‡]

Abstract. We consider an initial- and Dirichlet boundary- value problem for a fourth-order linear stochastic parabolic equation, in two or three space dimensions, forced by an additive space-time white noise. Discretizing the space-time white noise a modeling error is introduced and a regularized fourth-order linear stochastic parabolic problem is obtained. Fully-discrete approximations to the solution of the regularized problem are constructed by using, for discretization in space, a standard Galerkin finite element method based on C^1 piecewise polynomials, and, for time-stepping, the Backward Euler method. We derive strong a priori estimates for the modeling error and for the approximation error to the solution of the regularized problem.

1. Introduction

1.1. **The main problem.** Let $d = 2$ or 3, $T > 0$, $D = (0,1)^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space. Then we consider an initial- and Dirichlet boundary- value problem for a fourth-order linear stochastic parabolic equation formulated, typically, as follows: find a stochastic function $u : [0,T] \times \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t u + \Delta^2 u = \dot{W}(t,x) \quad \forall\,(t,x) \in (0,T] \times D,\\ &\Delta^m u(t,\cdot)\big|_{\partial D} = 0 \quad \forall\, t \in (0,T], \quad m = 0,1,\\ &u(0,x) = 0 \quad \forall\, x \in D, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

a.s. in Ω, where $\dot{W}$ denotes a space-time white noise on $[0,T] \times D$ (see, e.g., [26], [15]). The mild solution of the problem above (cf. [5], [10]), known as 'stochastic convolution', is given by

$$u(t,x) = \int_0^t \int_D G(t-s;x,y)\, dW(s,y). \tag{1.2}$$

Here, $G(t;x,y)$ is the space-time Green kernel of the corresponding deterministic parabolic problem: find a deterministic function $w : [0,T] \times \overline{D} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t w + \Delta^2 w = 0 \quad \forall\,(t,x) \in (0,T] \times D,\\ &\Delta^m w(t,\cdot)\big|_{\partial D} = 0 \quad \forall\, t \in (0,T], \quad m = 0,1,\\ &w(0,x) = w_0(x) \quad \forall\, x \in D, \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where w_0 is a deterministic initial condition. In particular, we have

$$w(t,x) = \int_D G(t;x,y)\, w_0(y)\, dy \quad \forall\,(t,x) \in (0,T] \times \overline{D} \tag{1.4}$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 65M60, 65M15, 65C20.

Key words and phrases. finite element method, space-time white noise, Backward Euler time-stepping, fully-discrete approximations, a priori error estimates, fourth order parabolic equation, two and three space dimensions.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of Crete, GR–714 09 Heraklion, Crete, Greece.

and

$$G(t;x,y)=\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 t}\,\varepsilon_\alpha(x)\,\varepsilon_\alpha(y)\quad\forall\,(t,x,y)\in(0,T]\times\overline{D}\times\overline{D},\tag{1.5}$$

where $\lambda_\alpha:=\pi^2\,|\alpha|^2_{\mathbb{N}^d}$, $|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}:=\left(\sum_{i=1}^d\alpha_i^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\varepsilon_\alpha(z):=2^{\frac{d}{2}}\,\prod_{i=1}^d\sin(\alpha_i\,\pi\,z_i)$ for all $z\in\overline{D}$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d$.

1.2. **The regularized problem.** Following the approach for a second order one dimensional stochastic parabolic equation with additive space-time white noise proposed in [1], we construct below an approximate initial and boundary value problem:

For $N_\star,\,J_\star\in\mathbb{N}$, define the mesh-lengths $\Delta t:=\frac{T}{N_\star}$, $\Delta x:=\frac{1}{J_\star}$, and the nodes $t_n:=n\,\Delta t$ for $n=0,\dots,N_\star$ and $x_j:=j\,\Delta x$ for $j=0,\dots,J_\star$. Then, we define the sets $\mathcal{N}_\star:=\{1,\dots,N_\star\}$, $\mathcal{J}_\star:=\{1,\dots,J_\star\}$, $T_n:=(t_{n-1},t_n)$ for $n\in\mathcal{N}_\star$, $D_j:=(x_{j-1},x_j)$ for $j\in\mathcal{J}_\star$, $D_\mu:=\prod_{i=1}^d D_{\mu_i}$ for $\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d$, and $S_{n,\mu}:=T_n\times D_\mu$ for $n\in\mathcal{N}_\star$ and $\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d$. Next, consider the fourth-order linear stochastic parabolic problem:

$$\begin{gathered}\partial_t\widehat{u}+\Delta^2\widehat{u}=\widehat{W}\quad\text{in}\quad(0,T]\times D,\\ \Delta^m\widehat{u}(t,\cdot)\big|_{\partial D}=0\quad\forall\,t\in(0,T],\quad m=0,1,\\ \widehat{u}(0,x)=0\quad\forall\,x\in D,\end{gathered}\tag{1.6}$$

a.e. in Ω, where

$$\widehat{W}(t,x):=\tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star}\mathcal{X}_{S_{n,\mu}}(t,x)\,R^{n,\mu}\quad\forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\overline{D},$$

$$R^{n,\mu}:=\int_{S_{n,\mu}}1\,dW\quad,\forall\,n\in\mathcal{N}_\star,\quad\forall\,\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d,$$

and $\mathcal{X}_S$ is the index function of $S\subset[0,T]\times\overline{D}$.

The solution of the problem (1.6), according to the standard theory for parabolic problems (see, e.g, [19]), has the integral representation

$$\widehat{u}(t,x)=\int_0^t\!\!\int_D G(t-s;x,y)\,\widehat{W}(s,y)\,dsdy\quad\forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\overline{D}.\tag{1.7}$$

Remark 1.1. *The properties of the stochastic integral (see, e.g.,* [26]*), yield that* $R^{n,\mu}\sim\mathcal{N}(0,\Delta t(\Delta x)^d)$ *for all* $(n,\mu)\in\mathcal{N}_\star\times\mathcal{J}_\star^d$*. Also, we observe that* $\mathbb{E}[R^{n,\mu}\,R^{n',\mu'}]=0$ *for* $(n,\mu)\neq(n',\mu')$*. Thus, the random variables* $(R^{n,\mu})_{(n,\mu)\in\mathcal{N}_\star\times\mathcal{J}_\star^d}$ *are independent.*

1.3. **Main results of the paper.** The problem (1.1) is a linearized formulation of the stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation (cf. [5], [10]) which was introduced by Cook [6] for the investigation of phase separation in spinodal decomposition (see, e.g., [16], [12]). For the convergence analysis of approximation methods for fourth-order stochastic parabolic problems driven by a space-time white noise, we refer the reader: to [4] which considers a finite difference method for the stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation, and to [22], [13] and [14] which consider time-stepping methods for a wide family of evolution problems that includes (1.1), while the finite element method is not among the space-discretization techniques considered in [13] and [14]. Also, we refer the reader to [8], [1], [17], [25], [27] and [2] for the analysis of the finite element method for second order stochastic parabolic problems.

In the paper at hand, we extend some of our results for the 1D case, given in [18], to the 2D and 3D case. In particular, we consider approximations of the solution $\widehat{u}$ of (1.6) produced by the Backward Euler time-stepping combined with a C^1-finite element method, and analyze its convergence to the mild solution of (1.1). This error splits in two parts: the modeling error that appears by approximating u by $\widehat{u}$ and the numerical approximation error for $\widehat{u}$. The estimation of the modeling error is achieved, in Theorem 3.1, by obtaining the inequality

$$\max_{t\in[0,T]}\left\{\int_\Omega\left(\int_D|u(t,x)-\widehat{u}(t,x)|^2\,dx\right)dP\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\leq C\,\left[\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\Delta x^{\frac{4-d}{2}-\epsilon}+\Delta t^{\frac{4-d}{8}}\right].\tag{1.8}$$

Moving to the direction of building approximations of $\widehat{u}$, we let $M \in \mathbb{N}$, $(\tau_m)_{m=0}^{M}$ the nodes of a partition of $[0,T]$, i.e. $\tau_0 = 0$, $\tau_M = T$ and $\tau_{m-1} < \tau_m$ for $m = 1,\dots,M$, and define $\Delta_m := (\tau_{m-1},\tau_m)$ and $k_m := \tau_m - \tau_{m-1}$ for $m = 1,\dots,M$, and set $k_{\max} := \max_{1\le m\le M} k_m$; also, we let $M_h \subset H_0^1(D)\cap H^2(D)$ be a finite element space consisting of functions which are piecewise polynomials over a partition of D in triangles or rectangulars with maximum diameter h, and define a discrete biharmonic operator $B_h : M_h \to M_h$ by

$$(B_h\varphi,\chi)_{0,D} = (\Delta\varphi,\Delta\chi)_{0,D} \quad \forall\,\varphi,\chi\in M_h, \tag{1.9}$$

and the usual $L^2(D)-$projection operator $P_h : L^2(D)\to M_h$ by

$$(P_h f,\chi)_{0,D} = (f,\chi)_{0,D} \quad \forall\,\chi\in M_h, \quad \forall\,f\in L^2(D).$$

To construct approximations to $\widehat{u}$, we employ the Backward Euler finite element method which begins by setting

$$\widehat{U}_h^0 := 0, \tag{1.10}$$

and, then for $m = 1,\dots,M$, finds $\widehat{U}_h^m \in M_h$ such that

$$\widehat{U}_h^m - \widehat{U}_h^{m-1} + k_m\,B_h\widehat{U}_h^m = \int_{\Delta_m} P_h\widehat{W}\,ds. \tag{1.11}$$

Estimating the numerical approximation error for $\widehat{u}$, we derive first, in Theorem 6.2, the discrete in time $L_t^2(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ error estimate:

$$\left\{\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \int_\Omega \left(\int_D \left|\widehat{U}_h^m(x) - \widehat{u}(\tau_m,x)\right|^2 dx\right) dP\right\}^{\frac12} \le C\,\left[\,(k_{\max})^{\frac{4-d}{8}} + \epsilon^{-\frac12}\,h^{\nu-\epsilon}\,\right], \tag{1.12}$$

where: $\nu = \nu(r,d)$ is given in (5.13) and depends on the space dimension d and a parameter $r \in \{2,3,4\}$ which is related to the approximation properties of the finite element spaces M_h (see (2.19)). Only for the needs of the proof of (1.12), we introduce a space-discrete approximation $\widehat{u}_h$ of $\widehat{u}$ and analyze its convergence in the $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ norm (see Theorem 5.2). Also, assuming that the nodes $(\tau_m)_{m=0}^{M}$ are equidistributed with $\Delta\tau = k_m$ for $m = 1,\dots,M$, we arrive at the discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ error estimate (see Theorem 6.5):

$$\max_{0\le m\le M}\left\{\int_\Omega \left(\int_D \left|\widehat{U}_h^m(x) - \widehat{u}(\tau_m,x)\right|^2 dx\right) dP\right\}^{\frac12} \le C\,\left[\,\epsilon_1^{-\frac12}\,\Delta\tau^{\frac{4-d}{8}-\epsilon_1} + \epsilon_2^{-\frac12}\,h^{\nu-\epsilon_2}\,\right]. \tag{1.13}$$

To get the estimate above, first we consider the Backward-Euler time-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$ and analyze their convergence in the discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ norm above (see Theorem 4.2), and then, we compare the Backward-Euler fully-discrete with the Backward-Euler time-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$ (see Proposition 6.4). This procedure gives the possibility to estimate separately the space and the time discretization error in constrast to the technique used in [25] and [2] for second order problems. The reason of including the error estimate (1.12) in addition to the stronger norm error estimate (1.13), is that the order of convergence is slightly better and it allows a nonuniform partition of the time interval which is non standard among references (cf. [27], [13], [14], [25], [2]).

We close the section by an overview of the paper. Section 2 introduces notation, and recalls or prove several results often used in the paper. Section 3 is dedicated to the estimation of the modeling error. Section 4 defines the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$ and analyzes its convergence. Section 5 defines a finite element space-discrete approximation of $\widehat{u}$ and estimates its approximation error. Section 6 contains the error analysis for the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$.

2. Notation and Preliminaries

2.1. **Function spaces and operators.** We denote by $L^2(D)$ the space of the Lebesgue measurable functions which are square integrable on D with respect to Lebesgue's measure dx, provided with the standard norm $\|g\|_{0,D} := \{\int_D |g(x)|^2\,dx\}^{\frac12}$ for $g \in L^2(D)$. The standard inner product in $L^2(D)$ that produces the norm $\|\cdot\|_{0,D}$ is written as $(\cdot,\cdot)_{0,D}$, i.e., $(g_1,g_2)_{0,D} := \int_D g_1(x)g_2(x)\,dx$ for $g_1,\ g_2 \in L^2(D)$.

For $s\in\mathbb{N}_0$, $H^s(D)$ will be the Sobolev space of functions having generalized derivatives up to order s in the space $L^2(D)$, and by $\|\cdot\|_{s,D}$ its usual norm, i.e. $\|g\|_{s,D} := \big\{\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^d,\,|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}\le s}\|\partial_x^\alpha g\|_{0,D}^2\big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $g\in H^s(D)$. Also, by $H_0^1(D)$ we denote the subspace of $H^1(D)$ consisting of functions which vanish at the boundary ∂D of D in the sense of trace. We note that in $H_0^1(D)$ the, well-known, Poincaré-Friedrich inequality holds, i.e.,

$$\|g\|_{0,D}\le C_{PF}\,\|\nabla g\|_{0,D}\quad\forall\, g\in H_0^1(D), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\|\nabla v\|_{0,D} := \Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}_0^d,\,|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}=1}\|\partial_x^\alpha v\|_{0,D}^2\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $v\in H^1(D)$.

The sequence of pairs $\{(\lambda_\alpha,\varepsilon_k)\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ is a solution to the eigenvalue/eigenfunction problem: find nonzero $\varphi\in H^2(D)\cap H_0^1(D)$ and $\sigma\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $-\Delta\varphi=\sigma\,\varphi$ in D. Since $(\varepsilon_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ is a complete $(\cdot,\cdot)_D$−orthonormal system in $L^2(D)$, for $s\in\mathbb{R}$, a subspace $\dot{\mathbf{H}}^s(D)$ of $L^2(D)$ (see [23]) is defined by

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}}^s(D) := \left\{ v\in L^2(D):\quad \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\lambda_\alpha^s\,(v,\varepsilon_\alpha)_{0,D}^2<\infty\right\}$$

and provided with the norm $\|v\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^s} := \big(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\lambda_\alpha^s\,(v,\varepsilon_\alpha)_{0,D}^2\big)^{\frac{1}{2}}\quad\forall\, v\in\dot{\mathbf{H}}^s(D)$. Let $m\in\mathbb{N}_0$. It is well-known (see [23]) that

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}}^m(D)=\big\{\, v\in H^m(D):\quad \Delta^i v\,|_{\partial D}=0\quad\text{if}\quad 0\le i<\tfrac{m}{2}\,\big\} \tag{2.2}$$

and there exist constants $C_{m,A}$ and $C_{m,B}$ such that

$$C_{m,A}\,\|v\|_{m,D}\le\|v\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^m}\le\, C_{m,B}\,\|v\|_{m,D}\quad\forall\, v\in\dot{\mathbf{H}}^m(D). \tag{2.3}$$

Also, we define on $L^2(D)$ the negative norm $\|\cdot\|_{-m,D}$ by

$$\|v\|_{-m,D} := \sup\Big\{\tfrac{(v,\varphi)_{0,D}}{\|\varphi\|_{m,D}}:\quad \varphi\in\dot{\mathbf{H}}^m(D)\ \text{ and }\ \varphi\ne 0\Big\}\quad\forall\, v\in L^2(D),$$

for which, using (2.3), it is easy to conclude that there exists a constant $C_{-m}>0$ such that

$$\|v\|_{-m,D}\le\, C_{-m}\,\|v\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-m}}\quad\forall\, v\in L^2(D). \tag{2.4}$$

Let $\mathbb{L}_2=(L^2(D),(\cdot,\cdot)_{0,D})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2)$ be the space of linear, bounded operators from $\mathbb{L}_2$ to $\mathbb{L}_2$. We say that, an operator $\Gamma\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2)$ is *Hilbert-Schmidt*, when $\|\Gamma\|_{\rm HS} := \big\{\sum_{k=1}^\infty\|\Gamma\varepsilon_k\|_{0,D}^2\big\}^{\frac{1}{2}}<+\infty$, where $\|\Gamma\|_{\rm HS}$ is the so called Hilbert-Schmidt norm of Γ. We note that the quantity $\|\Gamma\|_{\rm HS}$ does not change when we replace $\{\varepsilon_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$ by another complete orthonormal system of $\mathbb{L}_2$. It is well known (see, e.g., [11]) that an operator $\Gamma\in\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}_2)$ is Hilbert-Schmidt iff there exists a measurable function $g: D\times D\to\mathbb{R}$ such that $\Gamma[v](\cdot)=\int_D g(\cdot,y)\,v(y)\,dy$ for $v\in L^2(D)$, and then, it holds that

$$\|\Gamma\|_{\rm HS}=\left(\int_D\int_D g^2(x,y)\,dxdy\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $\mathcal{L}_{\rm HS}(\mathbb{L}_2)$ be the set of Hilbert Schmidt operators of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{L}^2)$ and $\Phi:[0,T]\to\mathcal{L}_{\rm HS}(\mathbb{L}_2)$. Also, for a random variable X, let $\mathbb{E}[X]$ be its expected value, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[X] := \int_\Omega X\,dP$. Then, the Itô isometry property for stochastic integrals, which we will use often in the paper, reads

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\Big\|\int_0^T\Phi\,dW\Big\|_{0,D}^2\right]=\int_0^T\|\Phi(t)\|_{\rm HS}^2\,dt. \tag{2.6}$$

For later use, we introduce the projection operator $\widehat{\Pi}: L^2((0,T)\times D)\to L^2((0,T)\times D)$ defined by

$$\widehat{\Pi}(g;\cdot)\,\big|_{S_{n,\mu}} := \tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,\Delta x^d}\int_{S_{n,\mu}} g(t,x)\;dtdx,\quad\forall\, n\in\mathcal{N}_\star,\quad\forall\,\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d, \tag{2.7}$$

for $g\in L^2((0,T)\times D)$, which has the following property:

Lemma 2.1. *For $g \in L^2((0,T)\times D)$, it holds that*

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_D \widehat{\Pi}(g;s,y)\,dW(s,y) = \int_0^T\!\!\int_D \widehat{W}(t,x)\,g(t,x)\,dtdx. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. To obtain (2.8) we work, using (2.7) and the properties of W, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^T\!\!\int_D \widehat{\Pi}(g;s,y)\,dW(s,y) =&\tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\Big(\int_{S_{n,\mu}} g\;dtdx\Big)\Big(\int_0^T\!\!\int_D \mathcal{X}_{S_{n,\mu}}(s,y)\,dW(s,y)\Big)\\ =&\tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\Big(\int_{S_{n,\mu}} g(t,x)\;dtdx\Big)\,R^{n,\mu}\\ =&\tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\int_0^T\!\!\int_D g(t,x)\,\mathcal{X}_{S_{n,\mu}}(t,x)\,R^{n,\mu}\,dtdx\\ =&\int_0^T\!\!\int_D g(t,x)\,\widehat{W}(t,x)\,dtdx.\end{aligned}$$

□

We close this section, by stating some asymptotic bounds for series that will often appear in the rest of the paper and for a proof of them we refer the reader to Appendix A and Appendix B.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $d\in\{1,2,3\}$ and $c_\star>0$. Then, there exists a constant $C>0$ that depends on $c_\star$ and d, such that*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{-(d+c_\star\epsilon)} \leq C\,\epsilon^{-1}\quad \forall\,\epsilon\in(0,2]. \tag{2.9}$$

Lemma 2.3. *Let $d\in\{2,3\}$ and $\delta>0$. Then there exists a constant $C>0$ which is independent of δ, such that*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \tfrac{1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\delta}}{\lambda_\alpha^2} \leq C\;\,p_d(\delta^{\frac14})\;\,\delta^{\frac{4-d}{4}}, \tag{2.10}$$

where $p_d(s) := 1+\sum_{i=1}^d s^i$.

2.2. **Linear elliptic and parabolic operators.** For given $f\in L^2(D)$ let $v_E\in H^2(D)\cap H_0^1(D)$ be the solution of the boundary value problem

$$\Delta v_E = f \quad\text{in}\quad D, \tag{2.11}$$

and $T_E : L^2(D)\to H^2(D)\cap H_0^1(D)$ be its solution operator, i.e. $T_E f := v_E$, which has the property

$$\|T_E f\|_{m,D} \leq C_E\,\|f\|_{m-2,D},\quad \forall\,f\in H^{\max\{0,m-2\}}(D),\quad \forall\,m\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.12}$$

Also, for $f\in L^2(D)$ let $v_B\in H^4(D)$ be the solution of the following biharmonic boundary value problem

$$\Delta^2 v_B = f \quad\text{in}\quad D, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\Delta^m v_B\big|_{\partial D} = 0,\quad m=0,1, \tag{2.14}$$

and $T_B : L^2(D)\to \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ be the solution operator of (2.13), i.e. $T_B f := v_B$, which satisfies

$$\|T_B f\|_{m,D} \leq C\,\|f\|_{m-4,D},\quad \forall\,f\in H^{\max\{0,m-4\}}(D),\quad \forall\,m\in\mathbb{N}_0. \tag{2.15}$$

Due to the type of boundary conditions of (2.13), we conclude that

$$T_B f = T_E^2 f,\quad \forall\,f\in L^2(D), \tag{2.16}$$

which, easily, yields

$$(T_B v_1, v_2)_{0,D} = (T_E v_1, T_E v_2)_{0,D}\quad \forall\,v_1,v_2\in L^2(D). \tag{2.17}$$

Letting $(\mathcal{S}(t)w_0)_{t\in[0,T]}$ be the standard semigroup notation for the solution w of (1.3), we can easily establish the following property (see, e.g., [23], [21]): for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, β, $p \in \mathbb{R}_0^+$ and $q \in [0, p+4\ell]$ there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that:

$$\int_{t_a}^{t_b} (\tau - t_a)^{\beta} \left\| \partial_t^{\ell} \mathcal{S}(\tau) w_0 \right\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^p}^2 d\tau \le C \left\| w_0 \right\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{p+4\ell-2\beta-2}}^2 \quad \forall\, t_b > t_a \ge 0, \quad \forall\, w_0 \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^{p+4\ell-2\beta-2}(D). \tag{2.18}$$

2.3. **Discrete spaces and operators.** For $r \in \{2,3,4\}$, we consider a finite element space $M_h \subset H_0^1(D) \cap H^2(D)$ consisting of functions which are piecewise polynomials over a partition of D in triangles or rectangulars with maximum mesh-length h. We assume that the space M_h has the following approximation property

$$\inf_{\chi \in M_h} \|v - \chi\|_{2,D} \le C\, h^{r-1} \|v\|_{r+1,D} \quad \forall\, v \in H^{r+1}(D) \cap H_0^1(D), \tag{2.19}$$

which covers several classes of C^1 finite element spaces, for example the tensor products of C^1 splines, the Argyris triangle elements, the Hsieh-Clough-Tocher triangle elements and the Bell triangle (cf. [7], [3]).

A finite element approximation $v_{B,h} \in M_h$ of the solution v_B of (2.13) is defined by the requirement

$$B_h v_{B,h} = P_h f, \tag{2.20}$$

and we denote by $T_{B,h} : L^2(D) \to M_h$ the solution operator of (2.20), i.e. $T_{B,h} f := v_{B,h} = B_h^{-1} P_h f$ for $f \in L^2(D)$. It is easy to verify that $T_{B,h}$ is selfadjoint, i.e.,

$$(T_{B,h} f, g)_{0,D} = (f, T_{B,h} g)_{0,D} \quad \forall\, f, g \in L^2(D). \tag{2.21}$$

Also, using (2.20), (2.13) and (2.15) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Delta T_{B,h} f\|_{0,D} &\le \|\Delta T_B f\|_{0,D} \\ &\le C\, \|f\|_{-2,D} \qquad \forall\, f \in L^2(D). \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Applying the standard theory of the finite element method (see, e.g., [7], [3]) and using (2.15), we get

$$\|\Delta(T_B f - T_{B,h} f)\|_{0,D} \le C\, h^{r-1} \|f\|_{r-3,D}, \quad \forall\, f \in H^{\max\{r-3,0\}}(D), \tag{2.23}$$

while error estimates in the $L^2(D)$ norm are obtained in the proposition below.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $r \in \{2,3,4\}$. Then, it holds that:*

$$\|T_B f - T_{B,h} f\|_{0,D} \le C \begin{cases} h^5 \|f\|_{1,D}, & r = 4 \\ h^4 \|f\|_{0,D}, & r = 3, \\ h^2 \|f\|_{-1,D}, & r = 2, \end{cases} \qquad \forall\, f \in H^{\max\{r-3,0\}}(D). \tag{2.24}$$

Proof. Let $f \in H^{\max\{0,r-3\}}(D)$ and $e = T_B f - T_{B,h} f$. Also, we define a bilinear form $\gamma : H^2(D) \times H^2(D) \to \mathbb{R}$ by $\gamma(v_1, v_2) := (\Delta v_1, \Delta v_2)_{0,D}$ for v_1, $v_2 \in H^2(D)$. Now, let w_A, $w_B \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ be defined by $T_B \Delta e = w_A$ and $T_B e = w_B$. Then, using Galerkin orthogonality, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla e\|_{0,D}^2 &= -\,\gamma(w_A, e)_{0,D} \\ &\le \|\Delta e\|_{0,D} \inf_{\chi \in M_h} \|w_A - \chi\|_{2,D} \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|e\|_{0,D}^2 &= -\,\gamma(w_A, e)_{0,D} \\ &\le \|\Delta e\|_{0,D} \inf_{\chi \in M_h} \|w_B - \chi\|_{2,D}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

Case 1: Let $r \in \{2,3\}$. Then, using (2.26), (2.23), (2.19) and (2.22), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|e\|_{0,D}^2 &\le C\, h^{r-1} \|f\|_{r-3,D}\, h^{r-1} \|w_B\|_{r+1,D} \\ &\le C\, h^{2(r-1)} \|f\|_{r-3,D} \|e\|_{r-3,D} \end{aligned}$$

which, obviously, yields (2.24).

Case 2: Let $r=4$. Then, combining, (2.26), (2.19), (2.15) and (2.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\|e\|_{0,D}^2 &\le C\,\|\Delta e\|_{0,D}\,h^3\,\|T_B e\|_{5,D}\\ &\le C\,\|\Delta e\|_{0,D}\,h^3\,\|\nabla e\|_{0,D}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

Also, we observe that (2.25) and (2.15) yield

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla e\|_{0,D} &\le \|\Delta e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\|T_B\Delta e\|_{2,D}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\ &\le C\,\|\Delta e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\|e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

Now, we combine (2.27), (2.28) and (2.23) to have

$$\begin{aligned}\|e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{3}{2}} &\le C\,h^3\,\|\Delta e\|_{0,D}^{\frac{3}{2}}\\ &\le C\,h^{\frac{15}{2}}\,\|f\|_{1,D}^{\frac{3}{2}},\end{aligned}$$

which obviously leads to (2.24) for $r=4$. ☐

3. An Estimate for the Modeling Error

Here, we derive an $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ bound for the modeling error $u-\widehat{u}$, in terms of Δt and Δx.

Theorem 3.1. *Let u and $\widehat{u}$ be defined, respectively, by* (1.2) *and* (1.7). *Then, there exists a real constant $C>0$, independent of T, Δt and Δx, such that*

$$\max_{[0,T]}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\|u-\widehat{u}\|_{0,D}^2\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\,\left[\,(p_d(\Delta t^{\frac{1}{4}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}\;\Delta t^{\frac{4-d}{8}}+\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\;\Delta x^{\frac{4-d}{2}-\epsilon}\,\right]\quad\forall\,\epsilon\in(0,\tfrac{4-d}{2}].\tag{3.1}$$

Proof. Using (1.2) and (1.7), we conclude that

$$u(t,x)-\widehat{u}(t,x)=\int_0^T\!\!\int_D\left[\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\,G(t-s;x,y)-\widetilde{G}(t,x;s,y)\right]dW(s,y)\quad\forall\,(t,x)\in[0,T]\times\overline{D},\tag{3.2}$$

where $\widetilde{G}:(0,T)\times D\to L^2((0,T)\times D)$ given by

$$\widetilde{G}(t,x;\cdot)\Big|_{S_{n,\mu}}\equiv\tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d}\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s')\,G(t-s';x,y')\;ds'dy',\quad\forall\,n\in\mathcal{N}_\star,\quad\forall\,\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d.$$

Let $\Theta:=\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\|u_L-\widehat{u}_L\|_{0,D}^2\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $t\in(0,T]$. Using (3.2) and Itô isometry (2.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(t)=\tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d}\Bigg\{\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\int_D\Bigg\{\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\Bigg[\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\Big[&\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\,G(t-s;x,y)\\ &-\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s')\,G(t-s';x,y')\Big]\,ds'dy'\Bigg]^2 dsdy\Bigg\}\,dx\Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.\end{aligned}$$

Now, we introduce the splitting

$$\Theta(t)\le\;\Theta_A(t)+\Theta_B(t),\tag{3.3}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_A(t):=\tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d}\Bigg\{\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\int_D\Bigg\{\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\Bigg[\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\Big[&G(t-s;x,y)\\ &-G(t-s;x,y')\Big]\,ds'dy'\Bigg]^2 dsdy\Bigg\}\,dx\Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Theta_{B}(t)=\tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d}\Bigg\{\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\int_{D}\Bigg\{\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\Big[\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\Big[\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\,G(t-s;x,y')$$

$$-\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s')\,G(t-s';x,y')\Big]\,ds'dy'\Big]^2\,dsdy\Bigg\}\,dx\Bigg\}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

`Estimation of` $\Theta_{A}(t)$: Using (1.5) and the $(\cdot,\cdot)_{0,D}$–orthogonality of $(\varepsilon_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_{A}^2(t)&=\tfrac{1}{(\Delta x)^{2d}}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\int_{D}\Bigg\{\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\Bigg[\int_{D_\mu}\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\,\Big[G(t-s;x,y)-G(t-s;x,y')\Big]\,dy'\Bigg]^2\,dsdy\Bigg\}dx\\&=\tfrac{1}{(\Delta x)^{2d}}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\Bigg\{\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\Bigg[\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\,e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)}\,\Big(\int_{D_\mu}(\varepsilon_\alpha(y)-\varepsilon_\alpha(y'))\,dy'\Big)^2\Bigg]\,dsdy\Bigg\}\\&=\tfrac{1}{(\Delta x)^{2d}}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\Bigg\{\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\int_{T_n}\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\,e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)}\,ds\Bigg\}\Bigg\{\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\int_{D_\mu}\Big(\int_{D_\mu}(\varepsilon_\alpha(y)-\varepsilon_\alpha(y'))\,dy'\Big)^2\,dy\Bigg\},\end{aligned}$$

from which, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, follows that

$$\Theta_{A}^2(t)\leq\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\Big(\int_0^t e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)}\,ds\Big)\Bigg[\tfrac{1}{(\Delta x)^d}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\int_{D_\mu\times D_\mu}\big|\varepsilon_\alpha(y)-\varepsilon_\alpha(y')\big|^2\,dy'dy\Bigg].\tag{3.4}$$

Observing that $\int_0^t e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)}\,ds\leq\frac{1}{2}\,\lambda_\alpha^{-2}$ for $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d$, and that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{y,y'\in D_\mu}\big|\varepsilon_\alpha(y)-\varepsilon_\alpha(y')\big|&\leq 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1}\,\min\Big\{1,\tfrac{\pi}{2}\,d^{\frac{1}{2}}\,\Delta x\,|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}\Big\}\\&\leq 2^{\frac{d}{2}+1-\gamma}\,\pi^\gamma\,d^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}\,\Delta x^\gamma\,|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^\gamma,\quad\forall\,\gamma\in[0,1],\quad\forall\,\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d,\quad\forall\,\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d,\end{aligned}$$

(3.4) yields

$$\Theta_{A}^2(t)\leq\,2^{d+1-2\gamma}\,d^\gamma\,\pi^{2\gamma-4}\,(\Delta x)^{2\gamma}\,\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\tfrac{1}{|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{2(2-\gamma)}}.\tag{3.5}$$

The series in (3.5) converges when $2(2-\gamma)>d$ or equivalently $\gamma<\frac{4-d}{2}$. Thus, combining (3.5) and (2.9), we, finally, conclude that

$$\Theta_{A}(t)\leq\,C\,\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,\Delta x^{\frac{4-d}{2}-\epsilon}\quad\forall\,\epsilon\in\big(0,\tfrac{4-d}{2}\big].\tag{3.6}$$

`Estimation of` $\Theta_{B}(t)$: For $t\in(0,T]$, let $\widehat{N}(t):=\min\big\{\,\ell\in\mathbb{N}:\ \ 1\leq\ell\leq N_\star\ \text{ and }\ t\leq t_\ell\,\big\}$ and

$$\widehat{T}_n(t):=T_n\cap(0,t)=\begin{cases}T_n,&\text{if }\ n<\widehat{N}(t)\\(t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1},t),&\text{if }\ n=\widehat{N}(t)\end{cases},\quad n=1,\ldots,\widehat{N}(t).$$

Now, we use (1.5) and the $(\cdot,\cdot)_{0,D}$–orthogonality of $(\varepsilon_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$ as follows

$$\Theta_{B}^2(t)=\tfrac{(\Delta x)^d}{(\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d)^2}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\int_{D}\Bigg\{\int_{T_n}\Bigg[\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\Big[\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\,G(t-s;x,y')-\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s')\,G(t-s';x,y')\Big]\,ds'dy'\Bigg]^2\,ds\Bigg\}\,dx$$

$$=\tfrac{(\Delta x)^d}{(\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d)^2}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\Bigg[\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\Big(\int_{D_\mu}\varepsilon_\alpha(y')\,dy'\Big)^2\Bigg]\Bigg[\sum_{n=1}^{\widehat{N}(t)}\int_{T_n}\Big(\int_{T_n}\Big(\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\,e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)}-\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s')\,e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s')}\Big)\,ds'\Big)^2\,ds\Bigg]$$

which yields that

$$\Theta_{B}^2(t) \le 2^d \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \left(\tfrac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\widehat{N}(t)} \Psi_n^{\alpha}(t) \right), \tag{3.7}$$

where

$$\Psi_n^{\alpha}(t) := \int_{T_n} \Big(\int_{T_n} \Big(\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\, e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} - \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s')\, e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s')} \Big)\, ds' \Big)^2 ds.$$

Let $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d$ and $n\in\{1,\dots,\widehat{N}(t)-1\}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_n^{\alpha}(t) &= \int_{T_n} \Big(\int_{T_n}\int_{s}^{s'} \lambda_\alpha^2\, e^{-\lambda_k^2(t-\tau)}\, d\tau ds' \Big)^2 ds\\
&\le \int_{T_n} \Big(\int_{T_n}\int_{t_{n-1}}^{\max\{s',s\}} \lambda_\alpha^2\, e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)}\, d\tau ds' \Big)^2 ds\\
&\le 2\int_{T_n} \Big(\int_{T_n}\int_{t_{n-1}}^{s'} \lambda_\alpha^2\, e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)}\, d\tau ds' \Big)^2 ds + 2\int_{T_n} \Big(\int_{T_n}\int_{t_{n-1}}^{s} \lambda_\alpha^2\, e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)}\, d\tau\, ds' \Big)^2 ds\\
&\le 2\,\Delta t \Big(\int_{T_n}\int_{t_{n-1}}^{s'} \lambda_\alpha^2\, e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)}\, d\tau ds' \Big)^2 + 2\,(\Delta t)^2 \int_{T_n} \Big(\int_{t_{n-1}}^{s} \lambda_\alpha^2\, e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)}\, d\tau \Big)^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

from which, using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and integrating by parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_n^{\alpha}(t) &\le 4\,(\Delta t)^2 \int_{T_n} \Big(e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)} - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-t_{n-1})} \Big)^2 ds\\
&\le 4\,(\Delta t)^2 \big(1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\Delta t}\big)^2 \int_{T_n} e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)}\, ds\\
&\le 2\,(\Delta t)^2 \big(1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\Delta t}\big)^2\, \tfrac{e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-t_n)}-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-t_{n-1})}}{\lambda_\alpha^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by summing with respect to n, we obtain

$$\tfrac{1}{(\Delta t)^2} \sum_{n=1}^{\widehat{N}(t)-1} \Psi_n^{\alpha}(t) \le 2\, \tfrac{(1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\Delta t})^2}{\lambda_\alpha^2}. \tag{3.8}$$

Considering, now, the case $n=\widehat{N}(t)$, we have

$$\Psi_{\widehat{N}(t)}^{\alpha}(t) = \Psi_{A}^{\alpha}(t) + \Psi_{B}^{\alpha}(t) \tag{3.9}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{A}^{\alpha}(t) &:= \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \left(\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \int_{s'}^{s} \lambda_\alpha^2 e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-\tau)}\, d\tau ds' + \int_{t}^{t_{\widehat{N}(t)}} e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s)}\, ds' \right)^2 ds\\
\Psi_{B}^{\alpha}(t) &:= \int_{t}^{t_{\widehat{N}(t)}} \left(\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)}-1}^{t} e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(t-s')}\, ds' \right)^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{B}^{\alpha}(t) &\le \tfrac{\Delta t}{\lambda_\alpha^4} \left[1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\left(t-t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}\right)} \right]^2\\
&\le \tfrac{\Delta t}{\lambda_\alpha^4} \big(1 - e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\,\Delta t} \big)^2
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi^{\alpha}_{A}(t) &\leq \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \left[\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \int_{s'}^{s} \lambda_{\alpha}^2 e^{-\lambda_{\alpha}^2(t-\tau)}\,d\tau ds' + \Delta t\ \ e^{-\lambda_{\alpha}^2(t-s)}\right]^2 ds\\
&\leq 2 \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \left[\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \int_{s'}^{s} \lambda_{\alpha}^2 e^{-\lambda_{\alpha}^2(t-\tau)}\,d\tau ds'\right]^2 ds + \tfrac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_{\alpha}^2} \left[1 - e^{-2\lambda_{\alpha}^2\left(t - t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}\right)}\right]\\
&\leq 2 \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \left[\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{\max\{s,s'\}} \lambda_{\alpha}^2 e^{-\lambda_{\alpha}^2(t-\tau)}\,d\tau ds'\right]^2 ds + \tfrac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_{\alpha}^2} \left(1 - e^{-2\lambda_{\alpha}^2\,\Delta t}\right)\\
&\leq 8\,(\Delta t)^2 \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \left[\int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{s} \lambda_{\alpha}^2 e^{-\lambda_{\alpha}^2(t-\tau)}\,d\tau\right]^2 ds + \tfrac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_{\alpha}^2} \left(1 - e^{-2\lambda_{\alpha}^2\,\Delta t}\right)\\
&\leq 8\,(\Delta t)^2 \int_{t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1}}^{t} \left[e^{-\lambda_{\alpha}^2(t-s)} - e^{-\lambda_{\alpha}^2(t-t_{\widehat{N}(t)-1})}\right]^2 ds + \tfrac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_{\alpha}^2} \left(1 - e^{-2\lambda_{\alpha}^2\,\Delta t}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which, along with (3.9), gives

$$\Psi^{\alpha}_{\widehat{N}(t)} \leq 5\tfrac{(\Delta t)^2}{\lambda_{\alpha}^2}\left(1 - e^{-2\lambda_{\alpha}^2\,\Delta t}\right) + \tfrac{\Delta t}{\lambda_{\alpha}^4}\left(1 - e^{-\lambda_{\alpha}^2\Delta t}\right)^2.$$

Since the mean value theorem yields: $1 - e^{-\lambda_{\alpha}^2\Delta t} \leq \lambda_{\alpha}^2\,\Delta t$, the above inequality takes the form

$$\tfrac{1}{(\Delta t)^2}\,\Psi^{\alpha}_{\widehat{N}(t)} \leq 6\,\tfrac{1-e^{-2\lambda_{\alpha}^2\,\Delta t}}{\lambda_{\alpha}^2}. \tag{3.10}$$

Combining (3.7), (3.8) and (3.10) we obtain

$$\Theta^2_{B}(t) \leq 8 \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d} \tfrac{1-e^{-2\lambda_{\alpha}^2\,\Delta t}}{\lambda_{\alpha}^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Now, combine (3.11) and (2.10) to arrive at

$$\Theta_{B}(t) \leq C\,(p_d(\Delta t^{\frac{1}{4}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}\ \Delta t^{\frac{4-d}{8}}. \tag{3.12}$$

The error bound (3.1) follows by observing that $\Theta(0) = 0$ and combining the bounds (3.3), (3.6) and (3.12). □

4. TIME-DISCRETE APPROXIMATIONS

The Backward Euler time-discrete approximations to the solution $\widehat{u}(\tau_m,\cdot)$ of the problem (1.6) are defined as follows: first, set

$$\widehat{U}^0 := 0, \tag{4.1}$$

and then, for $m = 1,\dots,M$, find $\widehat{U}^m \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ such that

$$\widehat{U}^m - \widehat{U}^{m-1} + k_m\,\Delta^2\widehat{U}^m = \int_{\Delta_m} \widehat{W}\,ds \quad \text{a.s..} \tag{4.2}$$

To develop an error estimate in a discrete in time $L^{\infty}_t(L^2_P(L^2_x))$ norm for the above time-discrete approximations, we need an error estimate for the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations, $(W^m)_{m=0}^{M}$, of the solution w to the deterministic problem (1.3), given below: First, set

$$W^0 := w_0. \tag{4.3}$$

Then, for $m = 1,\dots,M$, find $W^m \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ such that

$$W^m - W^{m-1} + k_m\,\Delta^2 W^m = 0. \tag{4.4}$$

Proposition 4.1. *Let $(W^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of the solution w of the problem* (1.3) *defined in* (4.3)*–*(4.4)*. If $w_0 \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^2(D)$, then, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of T, Δt, Δx, M and $(k_m)_{m=1}^M$, such that*

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \left\| W^m - w(\tau_m, \cdot) \right\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\, (k_{\max})^{\theta} \left\| w_0 \right\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{4\theta-2}} \quad \forall\, \theta \in [0, 1]. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. The proof is omitted since it is moving along the lines of the proof of the one dimensional case which is exposed in Proposition 5.1 of [18]. ☐

Next theorem proves a discrete in time $L_t^{\infty}(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ convergence estimate for the Backward Euler time discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$, over a uniform partition of $[0, T]$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\widehat{u}$ be the solution of* (1.6) *and $\{\widehat{U}^m\}_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations specified in* (4.1)*–*(4.2)*. If $k_m = \Delta\tau$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$, then there exists constant $C > 0$, independent of T, Δt, Δx and $\Delta\tau$, such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq m \leq M} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left[\| \widehat{U}^m - \widehat{u}(\tau_m, \cdot) \|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\ \widetilde{\omega}(\Delta\tau, \epsilon)\ \Delta\tau^{\frac{4-d}{8} - \epsilon}, \quad \forall\, \epsilon \in (0, \tfrac{4-d}{8}], \tag{4.6}$$

where $\widetilde{\omega}(\Delta\tau, \epsilon) := [\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (\Delta\tau)^{\epsilon} \, (p_d(\Delta\tau^{\frac{1}{4}}))^{\frac{1}{2}}]$.

Proof. Let $I : L^2(D) \to L^2(D)$ be the identity operator, $\Lambda : L^2(D) \to \dot{\mathbf{H}}^4(D)$ be the inverse elliptic operator $\Lambda := (I + \Delta\tau\, \Delta^2)^{-1}$ which has Green function $G_{\Lambda}(x, y) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{\varepsilon_\alpha(x)\, \varepsilon_\alpha(y)}{1 + \Delta\tau \lambda_\alpha^2}$, i.e. $\Lambda f(x) = \int_D G_{\Lambda}(x, y) f(y)\, dy$ for $x \in \overline{D}$ and $f \in L^2(D)$. Obviously, $G_{\Lambda}(x, y) = G_{\Lambda}(y, x)$ for $x, y \in D$, and $G \in L^2(D \times D)$. Also, for $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $G_{\Lambda,m}$ the Green function of Λ^m. Thus, from (4.2), using an induction argument, we conclude that $\widehat{U}^m = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Delta_j} \Lambda^{m-j+1} \widehat{W}(\tau, \cdot)\, d\tau$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$, which is written, equivalently, as follows:

$$\widehat{U}^m(x) = \int_0^{\tau_m} \!\! \int_D \widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y)\, \widehat{W}(\tau, y)\, dy d\tau \quad \forall\, x \in \overline{D}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M, \tag{4.7}$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y) := \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathcal{X}_{\Delta_j}(\tau)\, G_{\Lambda, m-j+1}(x, y) \quad \forall\, \tau \in [0, T], \ \ \forall\, x, y \in D$.

Let $m \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ and $\mathcal{E}^m := \mathbb{E}\big[\| \widehat{U}^m - \widehat{u}(\tau_m, \cdot) \|_{0,D}^2 \big]$. First, we use (4.7), (1.7), (2.6) and (2.5), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^m &= \mathbb{E}\Big[\int_D \Big(\int_0^T \!\! \int_D \mathcal{X}_{(0,\tau_m)}(\tau) \left[\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y) - G(\tau_m - \tau; x, y) \right] \widehat{W}(\tau, y)\, dy d\tau \Big)^2 dx \Big] \\
&= \tfrac{1}{\Delta t\, (\Delta x)^d} \int_D \left\{ \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}_\star} \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{J}_\star^d} \left(\int_{S_{n,\mu}} \mathcal{X}_{(0,\tau_m)}(\tau) \left[\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y) - G(\tau_m - \tau; x, y) \right] d\tau dy \right)^2 \right\} dx
\end{aligned}$$

Then, we apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.5) to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^m &\leq \int_0^{\tau_m} \left(\int_D \!\! \int_D \left[\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau; x, y) - G(\tau_m - \tau; x, y) \right]^2 dy dx \right) d\tau \\
&\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \int_{\Delta_\ell} \left(\int_D \!\! \int_D \left[G_{\Lambda, m-\ell+1}(x, y) - G(\tau_m - \tau; x, y) \right]^2 dy dx \right) d\tau. \\
&\leq \sum_{\ell=1}^{m} \int_{\Delta_\ell} \| \Lambda^{m-\ell+1} - \mathcal{S}(\tau_m - \tau) \|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2 \, d\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we introduce the splitting

$$\mathcal{E}^m \leq \mathcal{B}_1^m + \mathcal{B}_2^m, \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_1^m &:= 2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\int_{\Delta_\ell}\|\Lambda^{m-\ell+1}-\mathcal{S}(\tau_m-\tau_{\ell-1})\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2\,d\tau,\\
\mathcal{B}_2^m &:= 2\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\int_{\Delta_\ell}\|\mathcal{S}(\tau_m-\tau_{\ell-1})-\mathcal{S}(\tau_m-\tau)\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2\,d\tau.
\end{aligned}
$$

`Estimation of` $\mathcal{B}_1^m$: By the definition of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_1^m &\leq 2\,\Delta\tau\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|\Lambda^{m-\ell+1}\varepsilon_\alpha-\mathcal{S}(\tau_m-\tau_{\ell-1})\varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2\right)\\
&\leq 2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\Delta\tau\,\|\Lambda^{m-\ell+1}\varepsilon_k-\mathcal{S}(\tau_m-\tau_{\ell-1})\varepsilon_k\|_{0,D}^2\right)\\
&\leq 2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\Delta\tau\,\|\Lambda^{\ell}\varepsilon_k-\mathcal{S}(\tau_\ell)\varepsilon_k\|_{0,D}^2\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\theta\in[0,\frac{4-d}{8})$. Using the deterministic error estimate (4.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_1^m &\leq C\,\Delta\tau^{2\theta}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|\varepsilon_\alpha\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{4\theta-2}}^2\\
&\leq C\,\Delta\tau^{2\theta}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\tfrac{1}{|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{4(1-2\theta)}}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.9}
$$

The convergence of the series is ensured because $4(1-2\theta)>d$.
`Estimation of` $\mathcal{B}_2^m$: Using, again, the definition of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm we have

$$
\mathcal{B}_2^m = 2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\int_{\Delta_\ell}\|\mathcal{S}(\tau_m-\tau_{\ell-1})\varepsilon_\alpha-\mathcal{S}(\tau_m-\tau)\varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2\,d\tau\right).
\tag{4.10}
$$

Observing that $\mathcal{S}(t)\varepsilon_\alpha=e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2 t}\,\varepsilon_\alpha$ for $t\geq 0$, (4.10) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_2^m &= 2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\int_{\Delta_\ell}\left(\int_D\left[e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau_m-\tau_{\ell-1})}-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau_m-\tau)}\right]^2\varepsilon_\alpha^2(x)\,dx\right)\,d\tau\right]\\
&= 2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\left[\sum_{\ell=1}^{m}\int_{\Delta_\ell}e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau_m-\tau)}\left[1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau-\tau_{\ell-1})}\right]^2\,d\tau\right]\\
&\leq 2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\left(1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\,\Delta\tau}\right)^2\left[\int_0^{\tau_m}e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2(\tau_m-\tau)}\,d\tau\right]\\
&\leq \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\tfrac{1-e^{-2\lambda_\alpha^2\,\Delta\tau}}{\lambda_\alpha^2},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which, applying (2.10), we obtain

$$
\mathcal{B}_2^m \leq C\;p_d(\Delta\tau^{\frac{1}{4}})\;\Delta\tau^{\frac{4-d}{4}}.
\tag{4.11}
$$

Thus, we obtain the estimate (4.6) as a conclusion of (4.8), (4.9), (4.11) and (2.9). □

5. SPACE-DISCRETE APPROXIMATIONS

Let $r \in \{2,3,4\}$. The space-discrete approximation of the solution $\widehat{u}$ of (1.6) is a stochastic function $\widehat{u}_h : [0,T] \to M_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \widehat{u}_h + B_h \widehat{u}_h &= P_h \widehat{W} \quad \text{on} \quad (0,T], \\ \widehat{u}_h(0) &= 0 \end{aligned} \qquad \text{a.s..} \tag{5.1}$$

To develop an $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ convergence estimate for the space-discrete approximation $\widehat{u}_h$, we will derive first an $L_t^2(L_x^2)$ error estimate for the corresponding space-discrete approximation w_h of the solution w of (1.3) (cf. [24] and [2]), which is a function $w_h : [0,T] \to M_h$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_h + B_h w_h &= 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (0,T], \\ w_h(0) &= P_h w_0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Since w_h can be considered as the value of a linear operator of the initial condition w_0, we will write it as $w_h(t,\cdot) = [\mathcal{S}_h(t) w_0](\cdot)$ for $t \in [0,T]$. Thus, by Duhamel's principle (cf. [23]), we have

$$\widehat{u}_h(t,x) = \int_0^t [\mathcal{S}_h(t-s) \widehat{W}(s,\cdot)](x)\, ds \quad \text{a.s..} \tag{5.3}$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let $r \in \{2,3,4\}$, w be the solution of* (1.3) *and w_h be its space-discrete approximation given in* (5.2). *If $w_0 \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^3(D)$, then, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of T and h, such that*

$$\left(\int_0^T \|w - w_h\|_{0,D}^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\, h^{\widetilde{\nu}(r,\theta)} \, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)}} \quad \forall\, \theta \in [0,1], \tag{5.4}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\nu}(r,\theta) := \begin{cases} 2\,\theta & \text{if} \ \ r = 2 \\ 4\,\theta & \text{if} \ \ r = 3 \\ 5\,\theta & \text{if} \ \ r = 4 \end{cases} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta) := \begin{cases} 3\theta - 2 & \text{if} \ \ r = 2 \\ 4\theta - 2 & \text{if} \ \ r = 3 \\ 5\theta - 2 & \text{if} \ \ r = 4 \end{cases}. \tag{5.5}$$

Proof. Let $e := w - w_h$ and $\rho := (T_{B,h} - T_B)\Delta^2 w$. We will derive (5.4) by interpolation, after showing that it holds for $\theta = 1$ and $\theta = 0$.

Observing that $T_{B,h} e_t + e = \rho$ on $[0,T]$, and then taking the $(\cdot,\cdot)_{0,D}$ inner product with e, we easily arrive at

$$\int_0^T \|e\|_{0,D}^2 \, dt \leq \int_0^T \|\rho\|_{0,D}^2 \, dt. \tag{5.6}$$

For $r = 2$, using (5.6), (2.24), (2.3) and (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^T \|e\|_{0,D}^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C\, h^2 \left(\int_0^T \|w\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^3}^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\, h^2 \, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

Also, for $r = 3, 4$, combining (5.6), (2.24), (2.3) and (2.18) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_0^T \|e\|_{0,D}^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq C\, h^{r+1} \left(\int_0^T \|w\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{r+1}}^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C\, h^{r+1} \, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{r-1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.8}$$

Thus, relations (5.7) and (5.8) yield (5.4) for $\theta = 1$.

Since $T_B w_t + w = 0$ on $[0,T]$, we obtain $(T_B w_t, w)_{0,D} + \|w\|_{0,D}^2 = 0$ on $[0,T]$, which, along with (2.17), yields $\frac{d}{dt} \|T_E w\|_{0,D}^2 + \|w\|_{0,D}^2 = 0$ on $[0,T]$. Then, integrating over $[0,T]$ and using (2.12), we get

$$\left(\int_0^T \|w\|_{0,D}^2 \, dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\, \|w_0\|_{-2,D}. \tag{5.9}$$

Since $T_{B,h}\partial_t w_h + w_h = 0$ on $[0,T]$, we obtain $(T_{B,h}\partial_t w_h, w_h)_{0,D} + \|w_h\|_{0,D}^2 = 0$ on $[0,T]$, which, along with (2.21), yields $\frac{d}{dt}(T_{B,h}w_h, w_h)_{0,D} + \|w_h\|_{0,D}^2 = 0$ on $[0,T]$. Then, integrating over $[0,T]$ and using (2.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_0^T \|w_h\|_{0,D}^2\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} &\leq \|\Delta T_{B,h}P_h w_0\|_{0,D}\\ &\leq \|\Delta T_{B,h} w_0\|_{0,D}\\ &\leq C\,\|w_0\|_{-2,D}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

Hence, from (5.9), (5.10) and (2.4), we obtain $\left(\int_0^T \|e\|_{0,D}^2\,dt\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\,\|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-2}}$, which yields (5.4) with $\theta = 0$. □

Next lemma shows that a discrete analogue of (1.4) holds.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $r \in \{2,3,4\}$ and w_h be the space-discrete approximation of the solution w of* (1.3) *defined in* (5.2). *Then, there exists a map $G_h : [0,T] \to C(\overline{D \times D})$ such that*

$$w_h(t;x) = \int_D G_h(t;x,y)\,w_0(y)\,dy \quad \forall\, t \in [0,T], \quad \forall\, x \in \overline{D}, \tag{5.11}$$

and $G_h(t;x,y) = G_h(t;y,x)$ for $x,y \in \overline{D}$ and $t \in [0,T]$.

Proof. Let $\dim(M_h) = n_h$ and $\gamma_h : M_h \times M_h \to \mathbb{R}$ be an inner product on M_h given by $\gamma_h(\chi_A, \chi_B) := (\Delta\chi_A, \Delta\chi_B)_{0,D}$ for χ_A, $\chi_B \in M_h$. We can construct a basis $(\chi_j)_{j=1}^{n_h}$ of M_h which is $L^2(D)-$orthonormal, i.e., $(\chi_i,\chi_j)_{0,D} = \delta_{ij}$ for $i,j = 1,\dots,n_h$, and γ_h-orthogonal, i.e., there are $(\lambda_{h,\ell})_{\ell=1}^{n_h} \subset (0,+\infty)$ such that $\gamma_h(\chi_i,\chi_j) = \lambda_{h,i}\,\delta_{ij}$ for $i,j = 1,\dots,n_h$ (see Section 8.7 in [9]). Thus, there exists a map $\omega : [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n_h}$ such that $w_h(t;x) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \omega_j(t)\,\chi_j(x)$. Since $w_h(0) = P_h w_0$, it follows that $\omega_j(0) = (w_0,\chi_j)_{0,D}$ for $j = 1,\dots,n_h$. Now, (5.2) yields that $\frac{d}{dt}\omega(t) = B\,\omega(t)$ for $t \in [0,T]$, where $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n_h \times n_h}$ with $B_{ij} := -\gamma_h(\chi_i,\chi_j) = -\lambda_{h,i}\,\delta_{ij}$ for $i,j = 1,\dots,n_h$. Hence, it follows that $\omega_\ell(t) = e^{-\lambda_{h,\ell}\,t}\,(w_0,\chi_\ell)_{0,D}$ for $t \in [0,T]$ and $\ell = 1,\dots,n_h$, which yields (5.11) with $G_h(t;x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} e^{-\lambda_{h,j}\,t}\chi_j(x)\chi_j(y)$. □

We are ready to derive a convergence estimate, in an $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ norm, for the space-discrete approximation $\widehat{u}_h$ to the solution $\widehat{u}$ of the regularized problem.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $r \in \{2,3,4\}$, $\widehat{u}$ be the solution of* (1.6) *and $\widehat{u}_h$ be its space-discrete approximation defined in* (5.1). *Then, there exist a constant $C > 0$, independent of T, Δt, Δx and h, such that*

$$\max_{[0,T]} \left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{u}_h - \widehat{u}\|_{0,D}^2\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\,\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,h^{\nu(r,d)}, \quad \forall\,\epsilon \in (0,\nu(r,d)], \tag{5.12}$$

where

$$\nu(r,d) := \begin{cases} \frac{4-d}{3} & \text{if} \quad r = 2\\ \frac{4-d}{2} & \text{if} \quad r = 3,4 \end{cases}. \tag{5.13}$$

Proof. Let $\widehat{e} := \widehat{u}_h - \widehat{u}$ and $t \in (0,T]$. Then, (5.3), (5.11) and (1.7) yield

$$\widehat{e}(t,x) = \int_0^t\!\!\int_D \left[\, G_h(t-s;x,y) - G(t-s;x,y)\,\right] \widehat{W}(s,y)\,dsdy \quad \forall\, x \in \overline{D}, \quad \text{a.s..}$$

Thus, using the Itô isometry property of the stochastic integral and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\|e(t,\cdot)\|_{0,D}^2\right] &= \mathbb{E}\left[\int_D\left(\int_0^T\int_D \mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s)\left[G_h(t-s;x,y)-G(t-s;x,y)\right]\widehat{W}(s,y)\,dsdy\right)^2 dx\right]\\ &= \tfrac{1}{\Delta t\,(\Delta x)^d}\int_D\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\mu\in\mathcal{J}_\star^d}\left(\int_{S_{n,\mu}}\mathcal{X}_{(0,t)}(s')\left[G_h(t-s';x,y')-G(t-s';x,y')\right]ds'dy'\right)^2 dx\\ &\leq \int_0^t\left(\int_D\int_D\left[G_h(t-s;x,y)-G(t-s;x,y)\right]^2 dydx\right)ds\end{aligned}$$

which, along with (2.5), yields

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|e(t,\cdot)\|_{0,D}^2\right] \leq \int_0^t \left\|\,\mathcal{S}(s)-\mathcal{S}_h(s)\,\right\|_{\text{HS}}^2\,ds. \tag{5.14}$$

Since $e(0,\cdot)=0$, we use (5.14), the definition of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm and (5.4), to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\max_{[0,T]}\mathbb{E}\left[\|e\|_{0,D}^2\right] &\leq \int_0^T\left(\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|\,\mathcal{S}(s)\varepsilon_\alpha-\mathcal{S}_h(s)\varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2\right)ds\\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\left(\int_0^T\left\|\mathcal{S}(s)\varepsilon_k-\mathcal{S}_h(s)\varepsilon_k\right\|_{0,D}^2\,ds\right)\\ &\leq C\,h^{2\widetilde{\nu}(r,\theta)}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|\varepsilon_\alpha\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)}}^2\\ &\leq C\,h^{2\widetilde{\nu}(r,\theta)}\,\pi^{2\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{2\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)}.\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

The series in the right hand side of (5.15) converges if and only if $-2\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)>d$. Thus, in view of (2.9), we arrive at (5.12) and (5.13). ☐

6. Convergence of the Fully-Discrete Approximations

6.1. **Consistency estimates.** First, we derive some Hölder-type bounds for $\widehat{u}$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\widehat{u}$ be the solution of (1.6). Then, there exist a real positive constant C, which is independent of T, Δt and Δx, such that*

$$\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b}\left[\widehat{u}(\tau_b,\cdot)-\widehat{u}(\tau,\cdot)\right]d\tau\right\|_{0,D}^2\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\ \left(p_d((\tau_b-\tau_a)^{\frac{1}{4}})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\ |\tau_b-\tau_a|^{1+\frac{4-d}{8}} \tag{6.1}$$

and

$$\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{u}(\tau_b,\cdot)-\widehat{u}(\tau_a,\cdot)\|_{0,D}^2\right]\right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\ \left(p_d((\tau_b-\tau_a)^{\frac{1}{4}})\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\ |\tau_b-\tau_a|^{\frac{4-d}{8}} \tag{6.2}$$

for τ_a, $\tau_b\in[0,T]$ with $\tau_a\leq\tau_b$.

Proof. We will omit the proof of (6.2) because it is similar to that of (6.1) which follows.

Let $m\in\{1,\dots,M\}$, $\tau_b\in(0,T]$ and $\tau_a\in[0,T]$ with $\tau_a<\tau_b$, and $\mu(\cdot):=\int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b}\left[\widehat{u}(\tau_b,\cdot)-\widehat{u}(\tau,\cdot)\right]d\tau$. First we assume that $\tau_a>0$. Then, we use (1.7), (2.8), the Itô-isometry property of the stochastic integral, (1.5) and the $L^2(D)-$orthogonality of $(\varepsilon_\alpha)_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\|\mu\|^2_{0,D}\right] &= \tfrac{1}{\Delta t(\Delta x)^d}\int_D\Bigg\{\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\ell\in\mathcal{J}^d_\star}\Big[\int_{S_{n,\ell}}\int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b}\big[\mathcal{X}_{(0,\tau_b)}(s')\,G(\tau_b-s';x,y')\\
&\qquad\qquad -\mathcal{X}_{(0,\tau)}(s')\,G(\tau-s';x,y')\big]\,d\tau ds'dy'\Big]^2\Bigg\}\,dx\\
&= \tfrac{1}{\Delta t(\Delta x)^d}\sum_{n\in\mathcal{N}_\star}\sum_{\ell\in\mathcal{J}^d_\star}\Bigg\{\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\Big(\int_{T_n}\int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b}\big[\mathcal{X}_{(0,\tau_b)}(s')\,e^{-\lambda^2_\alpha(\tau_b-s')}\\
&\qquad\qquad -\mathcal{X}_{(0,\tau)}(s')\,e^{-\lambda^2_\alpha(\tau-s')}\big]\,d\tau ds'\Big)^2\Big(\int_{D_\ell}\varepsilon_\alpha(y')\,dy'\Big)^2\Bigg\}
\end{aligned}$$

which, along with the use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\|\mu\|^2_{0,D}\right] &\leq \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\Bigg\{\int_0^T\Big[\int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b}\Big[\mathcal{X}_{(0,\tau_b)}(s')\,e^{-\lambda^2_\alpha(\tau_b-s')}-\mathcal{X}_{(0,\tau)}(s')\,e^{-\lambda^2_\alpha(\tau-s')}\Big]\,d\tau\Big]^2\,ds'\Bigg\}\\
&\leq (\tau_b-\tau_a)\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\Bigg(\int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b}\int_0^{\tau}\Big[e^{-\lambda^2_\alpha(\tau_b-s')}-e^{-\lambda^2_\alpha(\tau-s')}\Big]^2\,ds'd\tau\\
&\qquad\qquad +\int_{\tau_a}^{\tau_b}\int_{\tau}^{\tau_b}e^{-2\lambda^2_\alpha(\tau_b-s')}\,ds'd\tau\Bigg)\\
&\leq (\tau_b-\tau_a)^2\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\tfrac{1-e^{-2\,\lambda^2_\alpha\,(\tau_b-\tau_a)}}{\lambda^2_\alpha}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.3}$$

Finally, we combine (6.3) and (2.10) to arrive at (6.1). The case $\tau_a=0$ follows by moving along the lines of the proof above using that $\widehat{u}(0,x)=0$. ☐

Next, we show a consistency result for the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$, which is based on the result of Lemma 6.1.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $\widehat{u}$ be the solution of* (1.6) *and $(\widehat{\sigma}_m)_{m=1}^M$ be stochastic functions defined by*

$$\widehat{u}(\tau_m,\cdot)-\widehat{u}(\tau_{m-1},\cdot)+k_m\,\Delta^2\widehat{u}(\tau_m,\cdot)=\int_{\Delta_m}\widehat{W}\,d\tau+\widehat{\sigma}_m\quad\text{a.s.},\quad m=1,\dots,M.\tag{6.4}$$

Then it holds that

$$\left(\mathbb{E}\left[\|T_B\widehat{\sigma}_m\|^2_{0,D}\right]\right)^{\frac12}\leq C\,\left(p_d(k_m^{\frac14})\right)^{\frac12}\,(k_m)^{1+\frac{4-d}{8}},\quad m=1,\dots,M.\tag{6.5}$$

Proof. Let $m\in\{1,\dots,M\}$. Integrating the equation in (1.6) over Δ_m and subtracting it from (6.4), we conclude that $T_B\widehat{\sigma}_m(\cdot)=\int_{\Delta_m}\left[\,\widehat{u}(\tau_m\cdot)-\widehat{u}(\tau,\cdot)\,\right]\,d\tau$ a.s.. Thus, to get the bound (6.5), we apply the result (6.1) on the latter equality. ☐

6.2. **Discrete in time $L^2_t(L^2_P(L^2_x))$ error estimate.** We first obtain a discrete in time $L^2_t(L^2_P(L^2_x))$ error estimate for the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$, by connecting it to the error estimate of Theorem 5.2 for the space-discrete approximation of $\widehat{u}$.

Theorem 6.2. *Let $r\in\{2,3,4\}$, $\widehat{u}$ be the solution of* (1.6) *and $(\widehat{U}_h^m)_{m=0}^M\subset M_h$ be the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$ defined in* (1.10)-(1.11). *Then there exists a constant $C>0$, independent of T, r, Δt, Δx, h, M and $(k_m)_{m=1}^M$, such that:*

$$\left\{\sum_{m=1}^M k_m\,\mathbb{E}\Big[\|\widehat{U}_h^m-\widehat{u}(\tau_m,\cdot)\|^2_{0,D}\Big]\right\}^{\frac12}\leq C\,\sqrt{T}\,\Big[\,\epsilon^{-\frac12}\;h^{\nu(r,d)-\epsilon}+\widehat{\omega}(k_{\max})\;(k_{\max})^{\frac{4-d}{8}}\,\Big]\tag{6.6}$$

for $\epsilon\in(0,\nu(r,d)]$, where $\widehat{\omega}(k_{\max}):=(p_d((k_{\max})^{\frac14}))^{\frac12}$ and $\nu(r,d)$ is defined in (5.13).

Proof. Let $\widehat{u}_h$ be the space-discrete approximation of $\widehat{u}$ defined in (5.1), $\widehat{e} = \widehat{u} - \widehat{u}_h$, $z_h^m := \widehat{U}_h^m - \widehat{u}_h(\tau_m) \in S_h^r$ for $m = 0, \dots, M$, and $V_h := \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^M k_m \mathbb{E}\left[\|z_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}$. First, we observe that

$$\left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, \mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{U}_h^m - \widehat{u}(\tau_m, \cdot)\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq V_h + \sqrt{T} \, \max_{[0,T]} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{e}\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{6.7}$$

Integrating (5.1) over Δ_m and subtracting the obtained relation from (1.11), we arrive at

$$T_{B,h}(z_h^m - z_h^{m-1}) + k_m \, z_h^m = \rho_{h,m} \quad \text{a.s.}, \quad m = 1, \dots, M, \tag{6.8}$$

where $\rho_{h,m} := \int_{\Delta_m} \left[\widehat{u}_h(\tau, \cdot) - \widehat{u}_h(\tau_m, \cdot) \right] d\tau$. Take the $(\cdot,\cdot)_{0,D}-$inner product of both sides of (6.8) with z_h^m, sum with respect to m from 1 up to M, to obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} (\Delta T_{B,h} z_h^m - \Delta T_{B,h} z_h^{m-1}, \Delta T_{B,h} z_h^m)_{0,D} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \|z_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{M} (\rho_{h,m}, z_h^m)_{0,D} \quad \text{a.s..} \tag{6.9}$$

Since $z_h^0 = 0$, we conclude that $\sum_{m=1}^M (\Delta T_{B,h} z_h^m - \Delta T_{B,h} z_h^{m-1}, \Delta T_{B,h} z_h^m)_{0,D} \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta T_{B,h} z_h^M\|_{0,D}^2$ a.s.. Thus, taking expected values in (6.9) and using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we get

$$\begin{aligned} (V_h)^2 \leq & \, \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m^{-1} \|\rho_{h,m}\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \\ \leq & \, \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_D \int_{\Delta_m} \left[\widehat{u}_h(\tau, x) - \widehat{u}_h(\tau_m, x) \right]^2 d\tau \, dx \right] \\ \leq & \, \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{\Delta_m} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{u}_h(\tau, \cdot) - \widehat{u}_h(\tau_m, \cdot)\|_{0,D}^2 \right] d\tau. \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

Using (6.10) and (6.2), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} V_h \leq & \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{\Delta_m} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{e}(\tau, \cdot) - \widehat{e}(\tau_m, \cdot)\|_{0,D}^2 \right] d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{M} \int_{\Delta_m} \mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{u}(\tau, \cdot) - \widehat{u}(\tau_m, \cdot)\|_{0,D}^2 \right] d\tau \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \leq & \, C \sqrt{T} \left[\max_{[0,T]} \left(\mathbb{E}\left[\| \widehat{e} \|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (p_d((k_{\max})^{\frac{1}{4}}))^{\frac{1}{2}} \, (k_{\max})^{\frac{4-d}{8}} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Thus, (6.6) follows from (6.7), (6.11) and (5.12). □

6.3. **Discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ error estimate.** To get a discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ error estimate for the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$, we compare them to the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$ defined in (4.1)–(4.2).

For that we derive first a discrete in time $L_t^2(L_x^2)$ error estimate between the Backward Euler time-discrete and the Backward Euler fully discrete approximations of the solution w of (1.3) given below: First set

$$W_h^0 := P_h w_0. \tag{6.12}$$

Then, for $m = 1, \dots, M$, find $W_h^m \in M_h$ such that

$$W_h^m - W_h^{m-1} + k_m \, B_h W_h^m = 0. \tag{6.13}$$

Proposition 6.3. *Let $r \in \{2, 3, 4\}$, w be the solution of the problem (1.3), $(W^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of w defined in (4.3)-(4.4), and $(W_h^m)_{m=0}^M$ be the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of w specified in (6.12)-(6.13). If $w_0 \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^3(D)$, then, there exists a constant $C > 0$, independent of T, h, M and $(k_m)_{m=1}^M$, such that*

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, \|W^m - W_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \, h^{\widetilde{\nu}(r,\theta)} \, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)}} \quad \forall \theta \in [0, 1], \tag{6.14}$$

where $\widetilde{\nu}(r,\theta)$ *and* $\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)$ *are defined in* (5.5).

Proof. Let $E^m := W^m - W_h^m$ for $m = 0, \dots, M$. We will get (6.14) by interpolation, showing it for $\theta = 0$ and $\theta = 1$.

We use (4.4) and (6.13), to obtain: $T_{B,h}(E^m - E^{m-1}) + k_m\, E^m = k_m\,(T_B - T_{B,h})\Delta^2 W^m$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$. Since $T_{B,h}E^0 = 0$, proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 6.2, it follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \le \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \left\|(T_B - T_{B,h})\Delta^2 W^m\right\|_{0,D}^2 . \tag{6.15}$$

Let $r = 3$. Then, by (2.24) and (6.15), we obtain

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \le C\, h^8 \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \left\|\Delta^2 W^m\right\|_{0,D}^2 . \tag{6.16}$$

Taking the $(\cdot,\cdot)_{0,D}$–inner product of (4.4) with $\Delta^2 W^m$, and then integrating by parts and summing with respect to m from 1 up to M, it follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} (\Delta W^m - \Delta W^{m-1}, \Delta W^m)_{0,D} + \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \|\Delta^2 W^m\|_{0,D}^2 = 0. \tag{6.17}$$

Since $\sum_{m=1}^{M} \left(\Delta W^m - \Delta W^{m-1}, \Delta W^m\right)_{0,D} \ge \frac{1}{2}\left(\|\Delta W^M\|_{0,D}^2 - \|\Delta W^0\|_{0,D}^2 \right)$, (6.17) yields

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \|\Delta^2 W^m\|_{0,D}^2 \le \tfrac{1}{2}\, \|w_0\|_{2,D}^2. \tag{6.18}$$

Combining, now, (6.16), (6.18) and (2.3), we obtain

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\, h^4\, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^2}. \tag{6.19}$$

Let $r = 2$. Then, by (2.24), (2.4) and (6.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 &\le C\, h^4 \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \left\|\Delta^2 W^m\right\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}}^2 \\
&\le C\, h^4 \left[- \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, (T_E \Delta^2 W^m, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} \right] \\
&\le C\, h^4 \left[- \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, (\Delta W^m, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

Taking the $(\cdot,\cdot)_{0,D}$–inner product of (4.4) with ΔW^m, integrating by parts and summing with respect to m from 1 up to M, it follows that

$$\sum_{m=1}^{M} \left(\nabla W^m - \nabla W^{m-1}, \nabla W^m\right)_{0,D} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, (\Delta^2 W^m, \Delta W^m)_{0,D} = 0. \tag{6.21}$$

Since $\sum_{m=1}^{M} (\nabla W^m - \nabla W^{m-1}, \nabla W^m)_{0,D} \ge \frac{1}{2}\left[\|\nabla W^M\|_{0,D}^2 - \|\nabla W^0\|_{0,D}^2 \right]$, (6.21) yields

$$- \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, (\Delta^2 W^m, \Delta W^m)_{0,D} \le \tfrac{1}{2}\, \|w_0\|_{1,D}^2. \tag{6.22}$$

Combining (6.20), (6.22) and (2.3) we get

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m\, \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\, h^2\, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}. \tag{6.23}$$

Let $r=4$. Then, observing that $\Delta^2 W^m \in \dot{\mathbf{H}}^1(D)$ and using the relations (2.24), (2.4) and (6.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2 \leq & \, C\,h^{10} \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, \left\|\Delta^2 W^m\right\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}^2 \\ \leq & \, C\,h^{10} \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, \left\|\Delta^3 W^m\right\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}}^2 \\ \leq & \, C\,h^{10} \left[-\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, (T_E \Delta^3 W^m, \Delta^3 W^m)_{0,D}\right] \\ \leq & \, C\,h^{10} \left[-\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, (\Delta^2 W^m, \Delta^3 W^m)_{0,D}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

After, Applying the operator Δ on (4.4), take the $(\cdot,\cdot)_{0,D}-$inner product of the obtained relation with $\Delta^2 W^m$, integrate by parts and sum with respect to m from 1 up to M, to get

$$-\sum_{m=1}^{M} \left(\Delta W^m - \Delta W^{m-1}, \Delta^2 W^m\right)_{0,D} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, (\Delta^3 W^m, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} = 0. \tag{6.25}$$

Also, we have

$$\begin{aligned}-\sum_{m=1}^{M} (\Delta W^m - \Delta W^{m-1}, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} \geq & \sum_{m=1}^{M} \left(\|\Delta W^m\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}^2 - \|\Delta W^m\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1} \|\Delta W^{m-1}\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1} \right) \\ \geq & \, \tfrac{1}{2} \left(\|\Delta W^M\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1}^2 - \|\Delta W^0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^1} \right).\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Thus, (6.25) and (6.26) yield

$$-\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, (\Delta^3 W^m, \Delta^2 W^m)_{0,D} \leq \tfrac{1}{2} \, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^3}^2. \tag{6.27}$$

Combining (6.24) and (6.27) we get

$$\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C\,h^5 \, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^3}. \tag{6.28}$$

Thus, the relations (6.19), (6.23) and (6.28) yield (6.14) for $\theta = 1$.

Since $T_{B,h}(W_h^m - W_h^{m-1}) + k_m \, W_h^m = 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$, we obtain

$$(\Delta T_{B,h} W_h^m - \Delta T_{B,h} W_h^{m-1}, \Delta T_{B,h} W_h^m)_{0,D} + k_m \, \|W_h^m\|_{0,D}^2, \quad m = 1, \dots, M,$$

which, along with (2.22) and (2.4), yields

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, \|W_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \leq & \, \tfrac{1}{2} \, \|\Delta T_{B,h} w_0\|_{0,D}^2 \\ \leq & \, C \, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

Now, using (4.4) and (2.17), we obtain $(T_E W^m - T_E W^{m-1}, T_E W^m)_{0,D} + k_m \, \|W^m\|_{0,D}^2 = 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$, which yields $\|T_E W^m\|_{0,D}^2 - \|T_E W^{m-1}\|_{0,D}^2 + 2\,k_m \, \|W^m\|_{0,D}^2 \leq 0$ for $m = 1, \dots, M$. Then, summing with respect to m from 1 up to M, and using (2.12) and (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=1}^{M} k_m \|W^m\|_{0,D}^2 \leq & \, \tfrac{1}{2} \, \|T_E w^0\|_{0,D}^2 \\ \leq & \, C \, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-2}}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{6.30}$$

Finally, combine (6.29) with (6.30) to get $\left(\sum_{m=1}^{M} k_m \, \|E^m\|_{0,D}^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \, \|w_0\|_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{-1}}$, which is equivalent to (6.14) for $\theta = 0$. ☐

The following lemma ensures the existence of a continuous Green function for the solution operator of a discrete elliptic problem.

Lemma 6.2. *Let* $r \in \{2,3,4\}$*,* $\epsilon > 0$*,* $f \in L^2(D)$ *and* $\psi_h \in M_h$ *such that*

$$\epsilon\, B_h \psi_h + \psi_h = P_h f. \tag{6.31}$$

Then there exists a function $G_{h,\epsilon} \in C(\overline{D \times D})$ *such that*

$$\psi_h(x) = \int_D G_{h,\epsilon}(x,y)\, f(y)\, dy \quad \forall\, x \in \overline{D} \tag{6.32}$$

and $G_{h,\epsilon}(x,y) = G_{h,\epsilon}(y,x)$ *for* $x, y \in \overline{D}$*.*

Proof. Keeping the notation and the constructions of the proof of Lemma 5.1, we conclude that there are $(\mu_j)_{j=1}^{n_h} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\psi_h = \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \mu_j\, \chi_j$. Thus, (6.31) is equivalent to $\mu_i = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon\lambda_{h,i}}\,(f,\chi_i)_{0,D}$ for $i = 1,\dots,n_h$. Finally, we obtain (6.32) with $G_{h,\epsilon}(x,y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n_h} \frac{\chi_j(x)\chi_j(y)}{1+\epsilon\lambda_{h,j}}$. □

We are ready to compare, in the discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ norm, the time-discrete with the fully-discrete Backward Euler approximations of $\widehat{u}$.

Proposition 6.4. *Let* $r \in \{2,3,4\}$*,* $\widehat{u}$ *be the solution of the problem* (1.6)*,* $(\widehat{U}_h^m)_{m=0}^M$ *be the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of* $\widehat{u}$ *specified in* (1.10)-(1.11)*, and* $(\widehat{U}^m)_{m=0}^M$ *be the Backward Euler time-discrete approximations of* $\widehat{u}$ *specified in* (4.1)-(4.2)*. If the partition* $(\tau_m)_{m=0}^M$ *is uniform, i.e.* $k_m = \Delta\tau$ *for* $m = 1,\dots,M$*, then, there exists a constant* $C > 0$*, independent of* Δx*,* Δt*,* h*,* M *and* $\Delta\tau$*, such that*

$$\max_{1\le m\le M} \left\{ \mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{U}_h^m - \widehat{U}^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right] \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\,\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\; h^{\nu(r,d)-\epsilon}, \quad \forall\, \epsilon \in (0, \nu(r,d)] \tag{6.33}$$

where $\nu(r,d)$ *has been defined in* (5.13)*.*

Proof. Let $I : L^2(D) \to L^2(D)$ be the identity operator and $\Lambda_h : L^2(D) \to S_h^r$ be the inverse discrete elliptic operator given by $\Lambda_h := (I + \Delta\tau\, B_h)^{-1} P_h$ and having a Green function $G_{h,\Delta\tau}$ (cf. Lemma 6.2). Also, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $G_{h,\Delta\tau,\ell}$ the Green function of Λ_h^ℓ. Using, now, an induction argument, from (1.11) we conclude that $\widehat{U}_h^m = \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\Delta_j} \Lambda_h^{m-j+1} \widehat{W}(\tau,\cdot)\, d\tau$, $m = 1,\dots,M$, which is written, equivalently, as follows:

$$\widehat{U}_h^m(x) = \int_0^{\tau_m}\!\!\int_D \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{h,m}(\tau;x,y)\, \widehat{W}(\tau,y)\, dy d\tau \quad \forall\, x \in \overline{D}, \quad m = 1,\dots,M, \tag{6.34}$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{h,m}(\tau;x,y) := \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{X}_{\Delta_j}(\tau)\, G_{h,\Delta\tau,m-j+1}(x,y) \quad \forall\, \tau \in [0,T], \;\; \forall\, x,y \in D.$$

Using (4.7), (6.34), the Itô-isometry property of the stochastic integral, (2.5) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{U}^m - \widehat{U}_h^m\|_{0,D}^2 \right] &\le \int_0^{\tau_m} \Big(\int_D\!\int_D \left[\widehat{\mathcal{K}}_m(\tau;x,y) - \widehat{\mathcal{D}}_{h,m}(\tau;x,y) \right]^2 dy dx \Big)\, d\tau \\
&\le \sum_{j=1}^m \int_{\Delta_j} \|\Lambda^{m-j+1} - \Lambda_h^{m-j+1}\|_{\mathrm{HS}}^2\, d\tau, \quad m = 1,\dots,M,
\end{aligned}$$

where Λ is the inverse elliptic operator defined in the proof of Theorem 4.2. Now, we use the definition of the Hilbert-Schmidt norm and the deterministic error estimate (6.14), to have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{U}^m-\widehat{U}_h^m\|_{0,D}^2\right] &\leq \sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta\tau\left[\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|\Lambda^{m-j+1}\varepsilon_\alpha-\Lambda_h^{m-j+1}\varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2\right]\\ &\leq \sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{m}\Delta\tau\,\|\Lambda^j\varepsilon_\alpha-\Lambda_h^j\varepsilon_\alpha\|_{0,D}^2\right]\\ &\leq C\,h^{2\widetilde{\nu}(r,\theta)}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}\|\varepsilon_\alpha\|^2_{\dot{\mathbf{H}}^{\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)}},\quad m=1,\dots,M,\quad\forall\,\theta\in[0,1].\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$\max_{1\leq m\leq M}\mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{U}^m-\widehat{U}_h^m\|_{0,D}^2\right]\leq C\,h^{2\widetilde{\nu}(r,\theta)}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^d}|\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^d}^{2\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)},\quad\forall\,\theta\in[0,1],$$

from which, requiring $-2\,\widetilde{\xi}(r,\theta)>d$, (6.33), easily, follows (cf. Theorem 5.2). □

The available error estimates allow us to conclude a discrete in time $L_t^\infty(L_P^2(L_x^2))$ convergence of the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of $\widehat{u}$, over a uniform partition of $[0,T]$.

Theorem 6.5. *Let* $r\in\{2,3,4\}$, $\nu(r,d)$ *be defined by* (5.13), $\widehat{u}$ *be the solution of problem* (1.6), *and* $(\widehat{U}_h^m)_{m=0}^M$ *be the Backward Euler fully-discrete approximations of* $\widehat{u}$ *constructed by* (1.10)-(1.11). *If the partition* $(\tau_m)_{m=0}^M$ *is uniform, i.e.,* $k_m=\Delta\tau$ *for* $m=1,\dots,M$, *then, there exists a constant* $C>0$, *independent of* T, h, $\Delta\tau$, Δt *and* Δx, *such that*

$$\max_{0\leq m\leq M}\left\{\mathbb{E}\left[\|\widehat{U}_h^m-\widehat{u}(\tau_m,\cdot)\|_{0,D}^2\right]\right\}^{\frac12}\leq C\,\left[\widetilde{\omega}(\Delta\tau,\epsilon_1)\;\Delta\tau^{\frac{4-d}{8}-\epsilon_1}+\epsilon_2^{-\frac12}\,h^{\nu(r,d)-\epsilon_2}\right],$$

for $\epsilon_1\in\left(0,\frac{4-d}{8}\right]$ *and* $\epsilon_2\in\left(0,\nu(r,d)\right]$ *where* $\widetilde{\omega}(\Delta\tau,\epsilon_1):=\epsilon_1^{-\frac12}+(\Delta\tau)^{\epsilon_1}(p_d(\Delta\tau^{\frac14}))^{\frac12}$.

Proof. The estimate is a simple consequence of the error bounds (6.33) and (4.6). □

REFERENCES

[1] E.J. Allen, S.J. Novosel and Z. Zhang. Finite element and difference approximation of some linear stochastic partial differential equations. *Stochastics Stochastics Rep.*, vol. 64, pp. 117–142, 1998.

[2] L. Bin. Numerical method for a parabolic stochastic partial differential equation. Master Thesis 2004-03, Chalmers University of Technology, Göteborg, Sweden, June 2004.

[3] S. C. Brenner and L. R. Scott. *The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods.* Springer–Verlag, New York, 1994.

[4] C. Cardon-Weber. Implicit approximation scheme for the Cahn-Hilliard stochastic equation. PMA 613, Laboratoire de Probabilités et Modèles Alétoires, CNRS U.M.R. 7599, Universtités Paris VI et VII, Paris, France, 2000.

[5] C. Cardon-Weber. Cahn-Hilliard equation: existence of the solution and of its density. *Bernoulli*, vol. 7, pp. 777–816, 2001.

[6] H. Cook. Browian motion in spinodal decomposition. *Acta Metallurgica*, vol. 18, pp. 297–306, 1970.

[7] P.G. Ciarlet. *The finite element methods for elliptic problems.* North-Holland, New York, 1987.

[8] W. Grecksch and P.E. Kloeden. Time-discretised Galerkin approximations of parabolic stochastic PDEs. *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, vol. 54, pp. 79–85, 1996.

[9] G. H. Colub and C. F. Van Loan. *Matrix Computations.* Second Edition, The John Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, 1989.

[10] G. Da Prato and A. Debussche. Stochastic Cahn-Hilliard equation. *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 26, pp. 241–263, 1996.

[11] N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz. *Linear Operators. Part II. Spectral Theory. Self Adjoint Operators in Hilbert Space.* Reprint of the 1963 original. Wiley Classics Library. A Wiley-Interscience Publication. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.. New York, 1988.

[12] K.R. Elder, T.M. Rogers and R.C. Desai. Numerical study of the late stages of spinodal decomposition. *Phys. Rev. B*, vol. 37, pp. 9638–9649, 1987.

[13] E. Hausenblas. Numerical analysis of semilinear stochastic evolution equations in Banach spaces. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 147, pp.485–516, 2002.

[14] E. Hausenblas. Approximation for Semilinear Stochastic Evolution Equations. *Potential Analysis*, vol. 18, pp.141–186, 2003.

[15] G. Kallianpur and J. Xiong. *Stochastic Differential Equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces.* Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Lecture Notes-Monograph Series vol. 26, Hayward, California, 1995.

[16] L. Kielhorn and M. Muthukumar. Spinodal decomposition of symmetric diblock copolymer homopolymer blends at the lifshitz point. *J. Chem. Phys.*, vol. 110, pp. 4079–4089, 1999.

[17] P.E. Kloeden and S. Shot. Linear-implicit strong schemes for Itô-Galerkin approximations of stochastic PDEs. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis.*, vol. 14, pp. 47–53, 2001.

[18] G. T. Kossioris and G. E. Zouraris. Fully-Discrete Finite Element Approximations for a Fourth-Order Linear Stochastic Parabolic Equation with Additive Space-Time White Noise. TRITA-NA 2008:2, ISSN 0348-2952, NA, School of Computer Science and Communication, KTH, Stockholm, Sweden, 2008.

[19] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes. *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications. Vol. I.* Springer–Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg, 1972.

[20] T. Müller-Gronbach and K. Ritter. Lower bounds and non-uniform time discretization for approximation of stochastic heat equations. *Foundations of Computational Mathematics*, to appear.

[21] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, *Applied Mathematical Sciences* vol. 44, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[22] J. Printems. On the discretization in time of parabolic stochastic partial differential equations. *Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*, vol. 35, pp. 1055–1078, 2001.

[23] V. Thomée. *Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Problems*, *Spriger Series in Computational Mathematics* vol. 25, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997.

[24] Y. Yan. Semidiscrete Galerkin approximation for a linear stochastic parabolic partial differential equation driven by an additive noise. *BIT Numerical Mathematics*, vol. 44, pp. 829–847, 2004.

[25] Y. Yan. Galerkin Finite Element Methods for Stochastic Parabolic Partial Differential Equations. *SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis*, vol. 43, pp. 1363–1384, 2005.

[26] J.B. Walsh. An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations. Lecture Notes in Mathematics no. 1180, pp. 265–439, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1986.

[27] J.B. Walsh. Finite Element Methods for Parabolic Stochastic PDEs. *Potential Analysis*, vol. 23, pp. 1–43, 2005.

Appendix A.

Proof of (2.9). Let $\epsilon \in (0,2]$. First, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tfrac{1}{n^{1+c_\star\epsilon}} &\le 1 + \int_1^{+\infty} \tfrac{1}{x^{1+c_\star\epsilon}}\,dx\\ &\le \left(2+\tfrac{1}{c_\star}\right)\tfrac{1}{\epsilon},\end{aligned}$$

which easily yields (2.9) for $d=1$. For $d=2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^2} |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^2}^{-(2+c_\star\epsilon)} &\le 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tfrac{1}{(1+n^2)^{\frac{2+c_\star\epsilon}{2}}} + \int_{(1,+\infty)^2} |x|^{-(2+c_\star\epsilon)}\,dx\\ &\le 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tfrac{1}{n^{1+c_\star\epsilon}} + \int_1^{\infty}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} r^{-(1+c_\star\epsilon)}\,drd\theta\\ &\le C\,\epsilon^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

For $d=3$, using (2.9) for $d=2$, we proceed similarly as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3} |\alpha|_{\mathbb{N}^3}^{-(3+c_\star\epsilon)} &\le 3\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^2} (1+|\beta|_{\mathbb{N}^2}^2)^{-\frac{3+c_\star\epsilon}{2}} + \int_{(1,+\infty)^3} |x|^{-(3+c_\star\epsilon)}\,dx\\ &\le 3\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^2} |\beta|_{\mathbb{N}^2}^{-(2+c_\star\epsilon)} + \int_1^{\infty}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin(\theta)\,r^{-(1+c_\star\epsilon)}\,drd\theta d\phi\\ &\le C\,\epsilon^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Appendix B.

Proof of (2.10). First, we recall from [18] that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-e^{-k^4\pi^4\delta}}{k^4\pi^4} \leq C\,(1+\delta^{\frac{1}{4}})\,\delta^{\frac{3}{4}}$. For $d=2$, using the latter inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^2} \tfrac{1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\,\delta}}{\lambda_\alpha^2} &\leq 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tfrac{1-e^{-\pi^4(1+k^2)^2\delta}}{\pi^4(1+k^2)^2} + \int_{(1,+\infty)^2} \tfrac{1-e^{-\pi^4\,|x|^4\,\delta}}{\pi^4\,|x|^4}\,dx\\
&\leq 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tfrac{1-e^{-4\pi^4k^4\delta}}{\pi^4k^4} + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_1^{+\infty} \tfrac{1-e^{-\pi^4r^4\delta}}{\pi^4\,r^3}\,drd\theta\\
&\leq C\,(1+\delta^{\frac{1}{4}})\,\delta^{\frac{3}{4}} + \tfrac{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} \tfrac{1-e^{-z^4}}{z^3}\,dz\\
&\leq C\,(1+\delta^{\frac{1}{4}})\,\delta^{\frac{3}{4}} + \tfrac{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-z^3}\,dz,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^2} \frac{1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\,\delta}}{\lambda_\alpha^2} \leq C\,(1+\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}+\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})\,\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Finally, when $d=3$, using (2.10) for $d=2$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3} \tfrac{1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\delta}}{\lambda_\alpha^2} &\leq 3\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^2} \tfrac{1-e^{-\pi^4(1+|\beta|_{\mathbb{N}^2}^2)^2\delta}}{\pi^4(1+|\beta|_{\mathbb{N}^2}^2)^2} + \int_{(1,+\infty)^3} \tfrac{1-e^{-\pi^4\,|x|^4\,\delta}}{\pi^4\,|x|^4}\,dx\\
&\leq 3\sum_{\beta\in\mathbb{N}^2} \tfrac{1-e^{-4\lambda_\beta^2\delta}}{\lambda_\beta^2} + \int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_0^{\frac{\pi}{2}}\int_0^{+\infty} \sin(\phi)\,\tfrac{1-e^{-\pi^4r^4\delta}}{\pi^4\,r^2}\,drd\theta d\phi\\
&\leq C\,(1+\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}+\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})\,\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tfrac{\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2\pi^2}\int_0^{+\infty} \tfrac{1-e^{-2\,z^4}}{z^2}\,dz\\
&\leq C\,(1+\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}+\delta^{\frac{1}{2}})\,\delta^{\frac{1}{2}} + \tfrac{\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}}{2\pi^2}\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-z^2}\,dz,
\end{aligned}$$

which yields $\sum_{\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^3} \frac{1-e^{-\lambda_\alpha^2\,\delta}}{\lambda_\alpha^2} \leq C\,(1+\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}+\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}+\delta^{\frac{3}{4}})\,\delta^{\frac{1}{4}}$. □